



REVISTA ARGENTINA DE MICROBIOLOGÍA

www.elsevier.es/ram



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The decrease in the population of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* in sugarcane after nitrogen fertilization is related to plant physiology in split root experiments



Osvaldo Rodríguez-Andrade, Luis E. Fuentes-Ramírez, Yolanda E. Morales-García, Dalia Molina-Romero, María R. Bustillos-Cristales, Rebeca D. Martínez-Contreras, Jesús Muñoz-Rojas*

Laboratorio Ecología Molecular Microbiana, Centro de Investigaciones en Ciencias Microbiológicas (CICM)-Instituto de Ciencias (IC), Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla (BUAP), Puebla, México

Received 16 October 2014; accepted 29 September 2015
Available online 2 December 2015

KEYWORDS

Nitrogen fertilization;
Bacterial population;
G. diazotrophicus;
Sugarcane;
Plant growth
promoting bacteria

Abstract It has been established that a decrease in the population of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* associated with sugarcane occurs after nitrogen fertilization. This fact could be due to a direct influence of NH_4NO_3 on bacterial cells or to changes in plant physiology after fertilizer addition, affecting bacterial establishment. In this work, we observed that survival of *G. diazotrophicus* was directly influenced when 44.8 mM of NH_4NO_3 (640 mg N/plant) was used for *in vitro* experiments. Furthermore, micropropagated sugarcane plantlets were inoculated with *G. diazotrophicus* and used for split root experiments, in which both ends of the system were fertilized with a basal level of NH_4NO_3 (0.35 mM; 10 mg N/plant). Twenty days post inoculation (dpi) one half of the plants were fertilized with a high dose of NH_4NO_3 (6.3 mM; 180 mg N/plant) on one end of the system. This nitrogen level was lower than that directly affecting *G. diazotrophicus* cells; however, it caused a decrease in the bacterial population in comparison with control plants fertilized with basal nitrogen levels. The decrease in the population of *G. diazotrophicus* was higher in pots fertilized with a basal nitrogen level when compared with the corresponding end supplied with high levels of NH_4NO_3 (100 dpi; 80 days post fertilization) of the same plant system. These observations suggest that the high nitrogen level added to the plants induce systemic physiological changes that affect the establishment of *G. diazotrophicus*.

© 2015 Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: joymerre@yahoo.com.mx (J. Muñoz-Rojas).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Fertilización nitrogenada;
Población bacteriana;
G. diazotrophicus;
Caña de azúcar;
Bacterias promotoras del crecimiento de plantas

La disminución de la población de *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* en caña de azúcar, después de la fertilización nitrogenada, está relacionada con la fisiología de las plantas en experimentos de raíz dividida

Resumen La población de *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* asociada a la caña de azúcar disminuye después de la fertilización nitrogenada, lo cual podría ocurrir por la influencia directa del NH_4NO_3 sobre la supervivencia bacteriana, o por cambios en la fisiología de las plantas, que impiden el establecimiento bacteriano. En el presente trabajo se observó que en experimentos *in vitro* la supervivencia de *G. diazotrophicus* fue influenciada por 44,8 mM de NH_4NO_3 (640 mg N/plant). Además, *G. diazotrophicus* fue inoculado en plántulas micropropagadas de caña de azúcar, que fueron usadas para realizar experimentos de raíz dividida, en las que ambos extremos de los sistemas se fertilizaron con un nivel basal de NH_4NO_3 (0,35 mM; 10 mg N/planta). A los 20 días posteriores a la inoculación (dpi), la mitad de plantas fueron fertilizadas en uno de sus extremos con una dosis elevada de NH_4NO_3 (6,3 mM; 180 mg of N/plant). Este nivel fue menor al que afectó directamente a las células de *G. diazotrophicus*; sin embargo, provocó una disminución de la población bacteriana en comparación con plantas testigo fertilizadas con niveles basales de nitrógeno. La disminución de la población fue mayor para raíces fertilizadas con un nivel basal de nitrógeno en comparación con las raíces fertilizadas con altos niveles del mismo sistema de plantas (100 dpi; 80 días posfertilización). Estas observaciones indican que el alto nivel de nitrógeno añadido a las plantas inducen cambios fisiológicos sistémicos que afectan el establecimiento de *G. diazotrophicus*.

© 2015 Asociación Argentina de Microbiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus is a gram-negative bacterium, initially isolated as endophyte from Brazilian sugarcane plants⁸ and subsequently from sugarcane plants in other countries^{6,34}. In addition, *G. diazotrophicus* has also been isolated from the inner tissue of diverse hosts¹³, such as *Ipomoea batatas*, *Pennisetum purpureum*¹², *Saccharococcus sachari*^{3,31}, *Eleusine coracana*¹⁸, pineapple³⁷, and also from the rhizosphere of *Coffea arabica*.¹⁷ More recently this bacterium was isolated from wetland rice²⁷, carrot, radish and beetroot¹⁹ and was related to a dominant phylotype detected as endophyte from needles of *Pinus flexilis* and *Picea engelmannii* using 16S rRNA pyrosequencing⁹.

G. diazotrophicus is a nitrogen fixing bacterium that produces phytohormones, such as indol acetic acid^{15,32,33} and gibberellins⁴. This bacterial species is able to stimulate the growth of sugarcane after its inoculation^{25,35,36,40}. The principal mechanism for stimulating plant growth occurs through the auxinic via^{32,35} and depends on the sugarcane variety and the genotype of *G. diazotrophicus*²⁵.

Isolation of *G. diazotrophicus* from sugarcane plants depends on the amount of nitrogen fertilization applied to the crops: the higher the level of nitrogen applied to the crops, the lower the probability to isolate *G. diazotrophicus*^{14,28,31}. In addition, seven genotypes of *G. diazotrophicus* associated with sugarcane plants fertilized with low levels of nitrogen were identified in Brazilian fields and the diversity between them seemed to be affected by the high levels of nitrogen applied to sugarcane crops⁷ while only one genotype was detected in sugarcane plants fertilized with high levels of nitrogen in Mexican fields.

Moreover, *G. diazotrophicus* colonization is reduced in plants fertilized with high doses of nitrogen^{14,22,25}.

The decrease in the population of *G. diazotrophicus* associated with sugarcane plants could be due to pleomorphic changes that occur while culturing bacteria in the presence of high nitrogen concentrations²⁹. Additionally, it has been proposed that the decrease in the population of *G. diazotrophicus* associated with sugarcane could be due to physiological changes that the plant suffers in the presence of high nitrogen fertilization^{7,14}.

Split root experiments have been developed to evaluate the systemic effect of a specific substance on plants, when this substance is supplied only on one end of the plant, while the other end could be used as control⁴², but also to evaluate the systemic effect on plant pathogens due to the action of the induced systemic resistance produced by rhizobacteria¹.

In this work we show a statistical analysis of the behavior of the population of *G. diazotrophicus* present inside the roots and in the rhizosphere using split root experiments, both at high or low nitrogen levels in the form of NH_4NO_3 . In accordance with our results, the negative effect of nitrogen on the population of *G. diazotrophicus* is influenced by the plant.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains used for *in vitro* studies were *G. diazotrophicus* PAL 5^T, PAL 3 and UAP 5560, each one corresponding to a different genotype. PAL 5^T represents the predominant genotype isolated from different Brazilian sugarcane varieties (ET 3), PAL 3 corresponds to

Table 1 Bacterial number of three strains of *G. diazotrophicus* grown in solid LGI media supplemented with different NH_4NO_3 concentrations

[NH_4NO_3] mM	Log CFU/ml (SD)		
	PAL 5T	PAL 3	UAP 5560
0	8.43 (± 0.63)A	9.00 (± 0.62)A	9.09 (± 0.30)A
0.35	8.79 (± 0.17)A	9.09 (± 0.35)A	9.16 (± 0.15)A
0.7	9.05 (± 0.31)A	9.09 (± 0.36)A	8.79 (± 0.17)A
1.4	9.31 (± 0.15)A	9.44 (± 0.08)A	8.89 (± 0.17)A
2.8	9.01 (± 0.27)A	9.20 (± 0.17)A	9.16 (± 0.27)A
5.6	8.95 (± 0.24)A	9.31 (± 0.28)A	8.95 (± 0.24)A
11.2	9.29 (± 0.35)A	9.33 (± 0.05)A	9.05 (± 0.35)A
22.4	8.72 (± 0.30)A	9.41 (± 0.12)A	8.85 (± 0.11)A
44.8	0 C	0 C	5.02 (± 0.25)B
89.6	0 C	0 C	5.07 (± 0.05)B
179.2	0 C	0 C	0 C

Values correspond to the media of five independent samples determined by the DPSM method. Mean values with equal letters are not statistically different at $p \leq 0.05$, using the *t*-Student test. SD: standard deviation.

a rare genotype not frequently isolated (ET 5) and UAP 5560 is the predominant genotype isolated from different Mexican sugarcane varieties (ET1)⁷.

Experiment 1. *In vitro* assays

The ability of *G. diazotrophicus* strains to grow at different nitrogen concentrations was explored in two *in vitro* conditions: one using LGI solid Plates⁸ supplemented with different concentrations of NH_4NO_3 and the other using semisolid LGI medium⁸ supplemented with different concentrations of NH_4NO_3 (Sigma–Aldrich A3795). In the first case, bacteria were grown until stationary phase (five independent growth tubes by strain) in MESMA liquid medium¹⁴ for 48 h at 30 °C and 200 rpm. Cells were washed twice by centrifugation and resuspended in MgSO_4 10 mM (Sigma–Aldrich M7506). Each bacterial suspension was serially diluted (factor 1:10) and dilutions were placed in plates at different NH_4NO_3 concentrations. Bacterial population was quantified by the DPSM method¹⁰. For the second condition, bacterial strains were grown until stationary phase (five independent growth tubes by strain), in 150 ml of MESMA liquid medium, for 48 h at 30 °C and 200 rpm. Each washed bacterial suspension was serially diluted (factor 1:10) and 100 μl of each dilution were placed in series of semisolid LGI tubes in triplicate²⁵ containing the amount of NH_4NO_3 assessed (data observed in Tables 1 and 2). Quantification was carried out by the most probable number method (MPN) using a McCrady table with three replicate vials for each dilution.

Experiment 2. Plant assays

The effect of NH_4NO_3 on bacterial association with sugarcane was assessed with the use of split root experiments. For this purpose, sugarcane plantlets variety MEX 57-473 were obtained by micropropagation as described previously²⁵. Micropropagated plantlets were free from bacteria. Forty plantlets were inoculated with *G. diazotrophicus* PAL 5^T strain by immersion of roots for 1 h in the bacterial

suspension (5×10^8 UFC/ml). Forty plantlets were used as non-inoculated controls and were only immersed in distilled sterile water. To obtain the bacterial suspension, *G. diazotrophicus* -draft PAL 5^T was grown in 10 flasks containing 35 ml of TESMA medium until stationary phase, cells were washed by centrifugation twice; after, the pellet was resuspended in 35 ml of MgSO_4 (10 mM) and mixed to obtain 350 ml of bacterial suspension. Ten milliliters of this suspension were dispensed in tubes of 25 cm \times 2.5 cm and a single tube was used to inoculate each sugarcane plantlet.

After inoculation, both inoculated and non-inoculated plantlets were placed in sterile split root systems (Fig. 1), each consisting of two pots joined by the upper part. Each pot had 500 ml capacity and contained sterile vermiculite. For each plant, half of the roots were placed in pot 1 and the other half in pot 2, approximately 3 roots in each pot. The split root systems were watered in both pots with enough water and low doses of NH_4NO_3 (10 mg N/plant equivalent to 0.35 mM) and mineral salts, according to Muñoz-Rojas and Caballero-Mellado²⁵. The pots were covered with aluminum foil, and the zone where the plants emerged was protected with sterile cotton. The plantlets were maintained under greenhouse conditions with controlled temperature (26–30 °C) with a light/dark photoperiod of 16/8 h. Twenty days after inoculation (dpi) the bacterial number was determined for five plants both in their rhizospheres and inside the roots. At 20 dpi, 15 plants of each treatment (inoculated and non-inoculated) were supplemented with a high dose of NH_4NO_3 in one of the pots (180 mg of N/plant equivalent to 6.3 mM) (Fig. 1) under sterile conditions. Plants were again maintained under greenhouse conditions and watered periodically with distilled sterile water. Rhizospheric and endophytic bacteria were recovered from the two pots for each plant system and the population was determined at 35, 55 and 100 dpi, equivalent to 15, 35 and 80 days post fertilization (dpf). Bacterial number was determined as described previously by the most probable number method using a McCrady table with three replicate vials for each dilution²⁵. For this purpose, five independent plants or systems for each treatment (inoculated and non-inoculated) and treatments

Table 2 Bacterial number of three strains of *G. diazotrophicus* grown in semisolid LGI media supplemented with different NH_4NO_3 concentrations

[NH_4NO_3] mM	Log of cell number/ml (SD)		
	PAL 5 ^T	PAL 3	UAP 5560
0	8.57 (± 0.43)A	8.51 (± 0.43)A	8.49 (± 0.30)A
0.35	8.43 (± 0.37)A	8.47 (± 0.35)A	8.27 (± 0.13)A
0.7	8.43 (± 0.31)A	8.44 (± 0.41)A	8.43 (± 0.31)A
1.4	8.46 (± 0.35)A	8.29 (± 0.36)A	8.28 (± 0.32)A
2.8	8.40 (± 0.27)A	8.33 (± 0.38)A	8.21 (± 0.42)A
5.6	8.38 (± 0.34)A	8.26 (± 0.37)A	8.25 (± 0.33)A
11.2	8.32 (± 0.35)A	8.13 (± 0.25)A	8.13 (± 0.38)A
22.4	8.32 (± 0.44)A	8.17 (± 0.32)A	8.15 (± 0.40)A
44.8	2.56 (± 0.32)B	2.62 (± 0.44)B	2.57 (± 0.35)B
89.6	0 D	0 D	1.03 (± 0.45)C
179.2	0 D	0 D	0 D

Values correspond to the media of five independent samples determined by the MPN method using a McCrady table with three replicate vials for all dilutions of each sample. Mean values with equal letters are not statistically different at $p \leq 0.05$, using the *t*-Student test. SD: standard deviation.




Figure 1 Scheme representing the system used for the split root experiments (A). After *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation, the root of each plant was divided and placed in 2 pots. At 20 dpi half of the plants were supplemented with high doses of NH_4NO_3 on one side of the system. Images from plants in the split root experiment (B), in the greenhouse (C) and one plant at 35 dpi before the bacterial count (D).

at different nitrogen levels were analyzed in each time. The plants were carefully removed from the vermiculite, each side of the root system was placed in an independent sterile container, and the root was shaken to discard vermiculite that was not adhered. The resultant root-vermiculite was submerged in enough sterile water (covering the root) and vortexed to maximal velocity for 40 s: the suspension was used to perform rhizospheric bacterial quantification. Vermiculite weight was obtained by drying samples without the roots. Furthermore, for endophytic bacteria quantification, each root was placed in a sterile bottle, washed to discard vermiculite and disinfected with 70% ethanol for 30 s. Then the roots were rinsed with distilled water and the surface was sterilized with a 1.5% sodium hypochlorite solution (Sigma-Aldrich 425044) for 20 min. Later, the roots

were rinsed six times with sterile distilled water under sterile conditions. Fresh roots were macerated in water in 1:10 (w/v) proportion. Each sample used for bacterial quantification was diluted (factor 1:10) until dilution 1:1,000,000 and after, 100 μl of each dilution were placed in a tube containing semisolid LGI medium (without nitrogen for the growth of diazotrophic bacteria), three tubes were dispensed. After bacterial growth, positive and negative tubes were registered; the presence of a yellow pellicle at the top of the LGI semisolid medium, was recorded as a positive tube^{8,25,27}. In addition, acidification of the media was observed with color changes from green to yellow, which is characteristic of *G. diazotrophicus* growth; furthermore, after 7 days the yellow color was absorbed by bacteria⁸. The estimation of the bacterial number of each sample was carried out by the

most probable number method using a McCrady table with three replicate vials for each dilution (with a confidence limit of 95%). For rhizospheric bacteria quantification, the value obtained from the McCrady table was multiplied per 10 and the dilution factor was considered in order to obtain the number of bacterial cells/ml of liquid suspension (sample). This value was multiplied per the initial water volume where the root was vortexed and divided by the amount in grams (g) of vermiculite (V) present in the suspension (considered as the adhered soil to the roots)²⁵. The final quantified values obtained were stated as the number of cells/g V. To assess endophytic bacteria quantification, the value obtained from the McCrady table, was multiplied by 10 and the dilution factor was considered in order to obtain the number of bacterial cells/ml of liquid suspension (sample); later this value was multiplied by 10 due to initial dilution (w/v) of fresh roots for each side of the root systems. Each bacterial number value obtained was transformed to logarithmic form for statistical purposes. All treatments explored in the present work had five bacterial number values which were used to calculate the standard deviation and the statistical analysis. To ensure that quantified bacteria corresponded to *G. diazotrophicus* PAL 5^T, its ability to inhibit a sensitive strain (PAL 3) was checked and electrophoretic mobility patterns of 12 metabolic enzymes were compared with a reference strain^{6,7,17}. To achieve this goal, some positive tubes of semisolid LGI media with characteristic growth of *G. diazotrophicus*, were used to streak the bacteria pellicle on solid plates of LGI media and selected colonies were assessed for their ability to inhibit a sensitive strain by the double agar layer method^{20,26}. All selected isolates were able to inhibit the growth of *G. diazotrophicus* PAL 3 (an antagonistic characteristic of strain PAL 5^T), and they also had the same pattern of electrophoretic mobility of the metabolic enzymes explored, as the reference strain *G. diazotrophicus* PAL 5^T (data not shown).

Statistical analysis

Data corresponding to each treatment for the different experiments were statistically compared in pairs with the *t*-Student test, using Sigma Plot of the Jandel Scientific Software. Results of comparison were used to generate a matrix of differences and similarities between treatments for assignment of letters (data not shown).

Results

Effect of NH₄NO₃ on *G. diazotrophicus* strains *in vitro*

The three strains of *G. diazotrophicus* explored (PAL 5^T, PAL 3 and UAP 5560) were able to grow in solid media until 22.4 mM of NH₄NO₃ (Table 1), which is a high nitrogen level and corresponds to 640 mg of N/plant. The statistical analysis showed no differences between bacteria grown in the presence of 22.4 mM of NH₄NO₃ in comparison with normal LGI. *G. diazotrophicus* UAP 5560 tolerated a concentration of 89.6 mM in solid LGI, but the bacterial number was reduced from 10⁸ to 10⁵ CFU/ml (Table 1). In semisolid LGI medium it was also observed that the three strains of *G. diazotrophicus*

explored were able to grow until 22.4 mM of NH₄NO₃ (Table 2); the growth of the strains was affected at 44.8 mM in the order of 10² cells/ml and the growth of UAP 5560 also tolerated better than others the presence of high levels of NH₄NO₃ (89.6 mM); however, under this condition bacterial numbers diminished in the order of 10¹ cells/ml.

Effect of NH₄NO₃ on the colonization of sugarcane testing *G. diazotrophicus* PAL 5^T in split root experiments

The analysis of the population of *G. diazotrophicus* strain PAL 5^T was carried out using the sugarcane variety MEX-57473 with split root experiments both in rhizospheres as endophytically. Bacteria were not detected in non-inoculated control plants. Rhizospheric population was similar in both sides of the root systems at 20 dpi, about 1 × 10⁷ cells/g vermiculite (V) when basal levels of NH₄NO₃ were present. No statistical differences were observed at 35 dpi (15 dpf) in the rhizospheric population between both sides of the system, neither between treatments fertilized with high levels of NH₄NO₃ in comparison to those fertilized with basal levels. Furthermore, there were no differences when comparing the same treatments 20 dpi (Fig. 2). In accordance with our data, bacterial rhizospheric population decreased at 55 dpi (35 dpf) in plants fertilized with high levels of NH₄NO₃ when compared to the initial population observed in plants at 20 dpi. However, no differences were observed between the bacterial numbers recovered from each side of the split root systems neither for the plants fertilized with high levels of NH₄NO₃ nor for the plants treated with basal levels. Interestingly, at 100 dpi (80 dpf), fertilized plants with high levels of nitrogen had differences in rhizospheric population, detecting low bacterial numbers (around 1 × 10⁴ cells/g V) in the pot fertilized with basal nitrogen in comparison with the bacterial numbers detected in the other pot of the system and also when compared to the bacterial numbers of both pots from plants fertilized with low levels of nitrogen, in the order of 10⁵ cells/g V (Fig. 2).

The effect of nitrogen on the endophytic population of *G. diazotrophicus* PAL 5^T was more evident (Fig. 3). Inside the roots, the population of *G. diazotrophicus* was detected in the order of 10³ cells/g of root at 20 dpi. After the addition of high levels of NH₄NO₃ in one pot of the split root systems corresponding to the treated plants containing high levels of nitrogen, no changes were observed in the bacterial population measured 35 dpi (15 dpf) when comparing pots of the same system or systems with basal nitrogen. However, 55 dpi (35 dpf), the bacterial population of roots from pots with basal nitrogen showed a decrease (around 50 cells/g of root) in comparison with the bacterial population of roots from pots added with high level of NH₄NO₃ (around 6 × 10² cells/g of root) of the same plant system, and also when compared to the bacterial numbers observed in roots from plants fertilized with low levels of nitrogen (Fig. 3). Similar results were observed 100 dpi (80 dpf) in plants fertilized with high levels of nitrogen. In this case, the population of *G. diazotrophicus* was not detected inside the roots from pots fertilized with basal levels of NH₄NO₃, but bacteria were detected in roots from pots fertilized with high levels of nitrogen (around 10 cells/g of root) of the same




Figure 2 Rhizospheric bacterial populations in split root experiments. Each value represents the media of data for five independent plants (Log of cell number/g V) with the respective standard deviation. Mean values with equal letters are not statistically different at $p \leq 0.05$, using the t -Student test. dpi: days post inoculation; dpf: days post fertilization; N+: addition of 180 mg of nitrogen/plant.

split root system. These fertilized pots are statistically similar to the bacterial numbers detected in pots from plants fertilized with basal levels of nitrogen (around 40 cells/g of root) (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Plants require nitrogen for their development. The addition of this component produces key molecules that increase plant growth or the accumulation of metabolic compounds^{2,5,11}. Rhizospheric bacteria population could be

modified in response to nitrogen fertilization. It has been shown that ammonium nutrition increased root colonization by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 2-79RLI at the root tip and in the lateral root zone when the pH of the nutrient solution was allowed to change according to the nitrogen form provided²¹. In contrast, the population of *G. diazotrophicus* associated with sugar cane diminishes after nitrogen fertilization^{14,25}, regardless of the form of nitrogen supplemented²². This decrease could be explained by pleomorphic changes observed in *G. diazotrophicus* cells when they grow in the presence of high levels of NH_4NO_3 (25 mM)²⁹. However, in accordance with this study, bacterial cells




Figure 3 Bacterial population inside roots in split root experiments. Each value represents the media of data for five independent plants (Log of cell number/g root) with the respective standard deviation. Mean values with equal letters are not statistically different at $p \leq 0.05$, using the t -Student test. dpi: days post inoculation; dpf: days post fertilization; ND: not detected; N+: addition of 180 mg of nitrogen/plant.

survive under this nitrogen concentration in culture media. On the other hand, it has also been suggested that the reduction in the number of bacterial cells associated with sugarcane occurs by stimulating changes in plant physiology after nitrogen fertilization^{14,31}; however, this hypothesis has not been confirmed yet. In this work, the survival of *G. diazotrophicus* was influenced by 44.8 mM of NH_4NO_3 (equivalent to 640 mg N/plant) irrespective of the genotype of *G. diazotrophicus* used (*in vitro* experiments). However, strain UAP5560 was more tolerant to nitrogen

concentrations, showing survival with a decreased number of cells. Nitrogen concentration affecting bacterial survival (44.8 mM) was higher than the level of nitrogen that affects the population associated with sugarcane (6.3 mM equivalent to 180 mg N/plant). Based on the results presented in this work and data previously published²⁵, it was reasonable to propose that nitrogen fertilization induces changes in the physiology of plants that prevent *G. diazotrophicus* colonization. To verify this hypothesis, we carried out split root experiments to evaluate the effect of high levels of

NH_4NO_3 when applied on one side of system, while the population of *G. diazotrophicus* was measured on the other side. For this experiment, strain UAP5560 was applied to the sugarcane variety MEX 57-473, given that this interaction is very stable according to previous data²⁵. As we had expected, *G. diazotrophicus* population diminished in the plants fertilized with high levels of NH_4NO_3 . This decrease was more evident inside the roots exposed to basal levels of nitrogen, in comparison with the roots fertilized with high nitrogen levels, both in the same plant system. Moreover, this decrease in bacterial population was also evident in plant systems fertilized with low levels in both pots. These observations suggest that the effect of NH_4NO_3 on the population of *G. diazotrophicus* occurs through systemic changes in the plant, affecting the establishment of *G. diazotrophicus* in the roots on the other side. This observation was more evident 100 dpi or 80 dpf, when a decrease in the population of *G. diazotrophicus* was observed, due to the age of the plant²⁵. Supplementing high levels of NH_4NO_3 to sugarcane plants induce a decrease in the sucrose content in stalks in early growth²⁴ and sucrose has been proposed as the principal carbon source to *G. diazotrophicus*¹². Furthermore, NH_4NO_3 produces changes in the components of the apoplastic sap of sugarcane plants, including aminoacids, proteins, and sugars³⁸. Those changes could increase during plant growth and could be related to bacterial diminution. Moreover, exopolysaccharide production is required for biofilm formation and plant colonization by *G. diazotrophicus*²³, and the changes occurring in the plant could inhibit biofilm formation and bacteria establishment. Finally, some chemical compounds are responsible for inducing a resistance to disease in plants³⁰, but could also induce a systemic resistance (ISR) similar to that produced by rhizobacteria^{39,41}, making it conceivable that NH_4NO_3 could elicit ISR and prevent the colonization of *G. diazotrophicus* in sugarcane¹⁶. The nitrogen effect over the population of *G. diazotrophicus* is more evident inside the plant than in the rhizosphere (Figs. 2 and 3). This could be due to physiological changes occurring inside the plant and directly affecting the bacterial population; however, in the rhizosphere, firstly the metabolites have to be exported to provoke changes in the environment.

Taken together, the results in this study show that the decrease of *G. diazotrophicus* associated with sugarcane occurs due to changes in the physiology of the plant rather than by the direct effect that NH_4NO_3 could exert on bacterial cells.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to CONACYT (000000000156576), PRODEP and VIEP-BUAP-2014 for the support of this work. Osvaldo Rodríguez-Andrade and Dalia Molina Romero were awarded a CONACYT fellowship. This work is dedicated to the memory of Jesús Caballero-Mellado.

References

1. Alizadeh H, Behboudi K, Ahmadzadeh M, Javan-Nikkhah M, Zamioudis C, Pieterse CMJ, Bakker PAHM. Induced systemic resistance in cucumber and *Arabidopsis thaliana* by the combination of *Trichoderma harzianum* Tr6 and *Pseudomonas* sp. Ps14. *Biol Control*. 2013;65:14–23.
2. Armas R, Valadier M-H, Champigny ML, Lamaze T. Influence of ammonium and nitrate on the growth and photosynthesis of sugarcane. *J Plant Physiol*. 1992;140:531–5.
3. Ashbolt NJ, Inkerman PE. Acetic acid bacterial biota of the pink sugarcane mealybug, *Saccharococcus sacchari*, and its environs. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1990;56:707–12.
4. Bastian F, Cohen A, Piccoli P, Luna V, Baraldi R, Bottini R. Production of indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellins A1 y A3 by *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* and *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* in chemically-defined culture media. *Plant Growth Regul*. 1998;24:7–11.
5. Britto DT, Kronzucker HJ. Ecological significance and complexity of N-source preference in plants. *Ann Bot*. 2013;112:957–63.
6. Caballero-Mellado J, Martínez-Romero E. Limited genetic diversity in the endophytic sugarcane bacterium *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1994;79:1532–7.
7. Caballero-Mellado J, Fuentes-Ramírez LE, Reis VM, Martínez-Romero E. Genetic structure of *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* populations and identification of a new genetically distant group. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1995;61:3008–13.
8. Cavalcante VA, Döbereiner J. A new acid-tolerant nitrogen fixing bacterium associated with sugarcane. *Plant Soil*. 1988;108:23–31.
9. Carrell AA, Frank AC. *Pinus flexilis* and *Picea engelmannii* share a simple and consistent needle endophyte microbiota with a potential role in nitrogen fixation. *Front Microbiol*. 2014;5:333, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00333>.
10. Corral-Lugo A, Morales-García YE, Pazos-Rojas LA, Ramírez-Valverde A, Martínez-Contreras RD, Muñoz-Rojas J. Quantification of cultivable bacteria by the massive stamping drop plate method. *Rev Colomb Biotechnol*. 2012;XIV:147–56.
11. Dechorgnat J, Nguyen CT, Armengaud P, Jossier M, Diatloff E, Filleul S, Daniel-Vedele FD. From the soil to the seeds: the long journey of nitrate in plants. *J Exp Bot*. 2011;62:1349–59.
12. Döbereiner J, Reis VM, Paula MA, Olivares FL. Endophytes diazotrophs in sugar cane, cereals and tuber plants. In: Palacios R, Mora J, Newton WE, editors. *New horizons in nitrogen fixation*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1993. p. 671–6.
13. Eskin N, Vessey K, Tian L. Research progress and perspectives of nitrogen fixing bacterium, *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*, in monocot plants. *Int J Agron*. 2014, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/208383>.
14. Fuentes-Ramírez LE, Caballero-Mellado J, Sepúlveda J, Martínez Romero E. Colonization of sugarcane by *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* is inhibited by high N-fertilization. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 1999;29:117–28.

15. Fuentes-Ramírez LE, Jiménez-Salgado T, Abarca-Ocampo IR, Caballero-Mellado J. *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*, and indolacetic acid producing bacterium isolated from sugarcane cultivars of México. *Plant Soil*. 1993;154:145–50.
16. Gurjão de Carvalho TL, Gomes Ferreira PC, Hemerly AS. Sugarcane genetic controls involved in the association with beneficial endophytic nitrogen fixing bacteria. *Trop Plant Biol*. 2011;4:31–41.
17. Jiménez-Salgado T, Fuentes-Ramírez LE, Tapia-Hernández A, Mascarúa-Esparza MA, Martínez-Romero E, Caballero-Mellado J. *Coffea arabica* L., a new host plant for *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*, and isolation of other nitrogen-fixing acetobacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1997;63:3676–83.
18. Loganathan P, Suelta R, Parida AK, Fair S. Isolation and characterization of two genetically distant groups of *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* from a new host plant *Eleusine coracana* L. *J Appl Microbiol*. 1999;87:167–72.
19. Madhaiyan M, Saravanan VS, Sandal-Jovi DBS, Lee H, Thenmozhi R, Hari K, Sa T. Occurrence of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* in tropical and subtropical plants of Western Ghats, India. *Microb Res*. 2004;159:233–43.
20. Marín-Cevada V, Muñoz-Rojas J, Caballero-Mellado J, Mascarúa-Esparza MA, Castañeda-Lucio M, Carreño-López R, Estrada de los Santos P, Fuentes-Ramírez LE. Antagonistic interactions among bacteria inhabiting pineapple. *Appl Soil Ecol*. 2012;61:230–5.
21. Marscher P, Gerendás J, Sattelmacher B. Effect of N concentration and N source on root colonization by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 2-79RLI. *Plant Soil*. 1999;215:135–41.
22. Medeiros AFA, Polidoro JC, Reis VM. Nitrogen source effect on *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* colonization of sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.). *Plant Soil*. 2006;279:141–52.
23. Meneses CHSG, Rows LFM, Simões-Araújo JL, Vidal MS, Baldani JI. Exopolysaccharide production is required for biofilm formation and plant colonization by the nitrogen-fixing endophyte *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2011;12:1448–58.
24. Muchow RC, Robertson MJ, Wood AW, Keating BA. Effect of nitrogen on the time-course of sucrose accumulation in sugarcane. *Field Crops Res*. 1996;47:143–53.
25. Muñoz-Rojas J, Caballero-Mellado J. Population dynamics of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* in sugarcane cultivars and its effect on plant growth. *Microb Ecol*. 2003;46:454–64.
26. Muñoz-Rojas J, Fuentes-Ramírez LE, Caballero-Mellado J. Antagonism among *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* strains in culture media and in endophytic association. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2005;54:57–66.
27. Muthukumarasamy R, Cleenwerck I, Revathi G, Vadivelu M, Janssens D, Hoste B, Gum KU, Park K-D, Son CY, Sa T, Caballero-Mellado J. Natural association of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* and diazotrophic *Acetobacter peroxydans* with wetland rice. *Syst Appl Microbiol*. 2005;28:277–86.
28. Muthukumarasamy R, Revathi G, Lakshminarasimhan C. Influence of N fertilization on the isolation of *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* and *Herbaspirillum* spp. from Indian sugarcane varieties. *Biol Fertil Soils*. 1999;29:157–64.
29. Muthukumarasamy R, Revathi G, Loganathan P. Effect of inorganic N on the population, in vitro colonization and morphology of *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* (syn. *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*). *Plant Soil*. 2002;243:91–102.
30. Oostendorp M, Kunz W, Dietrich B, Staub T. Induced disease resistance in plants by chemicals. *Eur J Plant Physiol*. 2001;107:19–28.
31. Ortega-Rodés P, Ortega E, Kleiner D, Loiret FG, Rodés R, Caballero-Mellado J. Low recovery frequency of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* from plants and associated mealybugs in Cuban sugarcane fields. *Symbiosis*. 2011;54:131–8.
32. Patil NB, Gajbhiye M, Ahiwale SS, Gunjal AB, Kapadnis BP. Optimization of indole 3-acetic acid (IAA) production by *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* L1 isolated from sugarcane. *Int J Environ Sci*. 2011;2:295–302.
33. Rojas MM, Rodríguez AJ, Trujillo ID, Heydrich M. Relationships between nitrogen fixation and auxins production in *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* strains from different crops. *Rev Colomb Biotecnol*. 2009;11:84–93.
34. Saravanan VS, Madhaiyan M, Osborne J, Thangaraju M, Sa TM. Ecological occurrence of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* and nitrogen-fixing *Acetobacteraceae* members: their possible role in plant growth promotion. *Microb Ecol*. 2008;55:130–40.
35. Sevilla M, Burris RH, Gunpala N, Kennedy C. Comparison of benefit to sugarcane plant growth and $^{15}\text{N}_2$ incorporation following inoculation of sterile plants with *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* wild-type and Nif^- mutant strains. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2001;14:358–66.
36. Suman A, Gaur A, Shrivastava AK, Yadav RL. Improving sugarcane growth and nutrient uptake by inoculating *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*. *Plant Growth Regul*. 2005;47:155–62.
37. Tapia-Hernández A, Bustillos-Cristales R, Jiménez-Salgado T, Caballero-Mellado J, Fuentes-Ramírez LE. Natural endophytic occurrence of *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* in pineapple plants. *Microb Ecol*. 2000;39:49–55.
38. Tejera N, Ortega E, Rodes R, Llunch C. Nitrogen compounds in the apoplastic sap of sugarcane stem: some implications in the association with endophytes. *J Plant Physiol*. 2006;163:80–5.
39. Van Wees SCM, Van der Ent S, Pieterse CMJ. Plant immune triggered by beneficial microbes. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. 2008;11:443–8.
40. Vargas L, Santa Brigida AB, Mota Filho JP, de Carvalho TG, Rojas CA, Vanechoutte D, Van Bel M, Farrinelli L, Ferreira PCG, Vandepoele K, Hemerly AS. Drought tolerance conferred to sugarcane by association with *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*: a transcriptomic view of hormone pathways. *PLOS ONE*. 2014;9:1–37.
41. Viswanathan R, Samiyappan R. Induced systemic resistance by fluorescent pseudomonads against red rot disease of sugarcane caused by *Colletotrichum falcatum*. *Crop Prot*. 2002;21:1–10.
42. Yu P, Li X, Yuan L, Li C. A novel morphological response of maize (*Zea mays*) adult roots to heterogeneous nitrate supply revealed by split-root experiment. *Physiol Plant*. 2014;150:133–44.